arXiv:1011.2845v1 [math.GR] 12 Nov 2010

Γ-ABEL-GRASSMANN'S GROUPOIDS CHARACTERIZED BY THEIR INTUITIONISTIC Γ-IDEALS

***Madad Khan, Faisal and Venus Amjid**

Department of Mathematics
COMSATS Institute of Information Technology
Abbottabad, Pakistan.

***E-mail: madadmath@yahoo.com**

In the memory of Professor Adam Khan

Abstract. In this paper, we have discussed several properties of intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-ideals of a Γ-AG-groupoid which is the generalization of ideals in AG-groupoid We have characterized an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid in terms of intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left (right, two-sided) ideals, intuitionistic fuzzy (Γ-generalized bi) Γ-bi-ideals, intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-interior ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-quasi ideals. We have proved that the intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left (right, interior, quasi) ideals coincide in an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid. We have also shown that the set of intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideals of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid forms a semilattice structure.

Keywords. Γ-AG-groupoids, intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoids and intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-ideals.

1. Introduction

The concept of an Abel-Grassmann's groupoid (AG-groupoid) [4] was first given by M. A. Kazim and M. Naseeruddin in 1972 and they called it left almost semigroup (LA-semigroup). P. Holgate call it simple invertive groupoid [3]. An AG-groupoid S is a groupoid having the left invertive law $(ab)c = (cb)a$ for all $a, b, c \in S$. An AG-groupoid is a non-associative algebraic structure mid way between a groupoid and a commutative semigroup. The left identity in an AG-groupoid if exists is unique [8]. An AG-groupoid is non-associative and non-commutative algebraic structure, nevertheless, it posses many interesting properties which we usually find in associative and commutative algebraic structures. An AG-groupoid with right identity becomes a commutative monoid [8]. An AG-groupoid is basically the generalization of semigroup (see [4]) with wide range of applications in theory of flocks [9].

Given a set S, a fuzzy subset of S is an arbitrary mapping $f : S \to [0, 1]$ where $[0, 1]$ is the unit segment of a real line. This fundamental concept of fuzzy set was first given by Zadeh [12] in 1965. Fuzzy groups have been first considered by Rosenfeld [10] and fuzzy semigroups by Kuroki [6].

Atanassov [1], introduced the concept of an intuitionistic fuzzy set. Dengfeng and Chunfian [2] introduced the concept of the degree of similarity between intuitionistic

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20M10, 20N99.

fuzzy sets, which may be finite or continuous, and gave corresponding proofs of these similarity measure and discussed applications of the similarity measures between intuitionistic fuzzy sets to pattern recognition problems. M. K. Sen introduced the concept of Γ-semigroups in 1981. The non-associative Γ-AG-groupoid is the generalization of an associative Γ-semigroup. In this paper, we generalize several results in terms of intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-ideals.

Let S and Γ be any nonempty sets. If there exists a mapping $S \times \Gamma \times S \to S$ written as (x, α, y) by $x\alpha y$, then S is called a Γ-AG-groupoid if $x\alpha y \in S$ such that the following Γ-left invertive law holds for all $x, y, z \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$(x\alpha y)\beta z = (z\alpha y)\beta x. \tag{1}$$

A Γ-AG-groupoid also satisfies the Γ-medial law for all $w, x, y, z \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$

$$(w\alpha x)\beta(y\gamma z) = (w\alpha y)\beta(x\gamma z). \tag{2}$$

Note that if a Γ-AG-groupoid contains a left identity, then it becomes an AG-groupoid with left identity.

A Γ-AG-groupoid is called a Γ-AG**-groupoid if it satisfies the following law for all $x, y, z \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$x\alpha(y\beta z) = y\alpha(x\beta z). \tag{3}$$

A Γ-AG**-groupoid also satisfies the Γ-paramedial law for all $w, x, y, z \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$

$$(w\alpha x)\beta(y\gamma z) = (z\alpha y)\beta(x\gamma w). \tag{4}$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let S be a Γ-AG-groupoid, a non-empty subset A of S is called a Γ-AG-subgroupoid if $a\gamma b \in A$ for all a, $b \in A$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ or if $A\Gamma A \subseteq A$.

A subset A of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called a Γ-left (right) ideal of S if $S\Gamma A \subseteq A$ $(A\Gamma S \subseteq A)$ and A is called a Γ-two-sided-ideal of S if it is both a Γ-left ideal and a Γ-right ideal.

A subset A of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called a Γ-generalized bi-ideal of S if $(A\Gamma S)\,\Gamma A \subseteq A$.

A sub Γ-AG-groupoid A of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called a Γ-bi-ideal of S if $(A\Gamma S)\,\Gamma A \subseteq A$.

A subset A of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called a Γ-interior ideal of S if $(S\Gamma A)\,\Gamma S \subseteq A$.

A subset A of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called a Γ-quasi-ideal of S if $S\Gamma A \cap A\Gamma S \subseteq A$.

A fuzzy subset f is a class of objects with a grades of membership having the form

$$f = \{(x,\ f(x))/x \in S\}.$$

An intuitionistic fuzzy set (briefly, IFS) A in a non empty set S is an object having the form

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x))/x \in S\}\,.$$

The functions $\mu_A : S \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\gamma_A : S \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ denote the degree of membership and the degree of nonmembership respectively such that for all $x \in S$, we have $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 1$.

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ for an IFS $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x))/x \in S\}$.

Let $\delta = \{(x, S_\delta(x), \Theta_\delta(x))/S_\delta(x) = 1 \text{ and } \Theta_\delta(x) = 0/x \in S\} = (S_\delta, \Theta_\delta)$ be an IFS, then $\delta = (S_\delta, \Theta_\delta)$ will be carried out in operations with an IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ such that S_δ and Θ_δ will be used in collaboration with μ_A and γ_A respectively.

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-AG-subgroupoid of S if $\mu_A(x\alpha y) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y)$ and $\gamma_A(x\alpha y) \leq \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_A(y)$ for all x, $y \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left ideal of S if $\mu_A(x\alpha y) \geq \mu_A(y)$ and $\gamma_A(x\alpha y) \leq \gamma_A(y)$ for all x, $y \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right ideal of S if $\mu_A(x\alpha y) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\gamma_A(x\alpha y) \leq \gamma_A(x)$ for all $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal of S if it is both an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left and an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right ideal of S.

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-generalized bi-ideal of S if $\mu_A((x\beta a)\gamma y) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y)$ and $\gamma_A((x\beta a)\gamma y) \leq \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_A(y)$ for all x, a and $y \in S$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$.

An intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-AG-subgroupoid $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-bi-ideal of S if $\mu_A((x\beta a)\gamma y) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y)$ and $\gamma_A((x\beta a)\gamma y) \leq \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_A(y)$ for all x, a and $y \in S$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$.

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-interior ideal of S if $\mu_A((x\beta a)\gamma y) \geq \mu_A(a)$ and $\gamma_A((x\beta a)\gamma y) \leq \gamma_A(a)$ for all x, a and $y \in S$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$.

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-quasi ideal of S if $(\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S) \cap (S \circ_\Gamma \mu_A) \subseteq \mu_A$ and $(\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma S) \cup (S \circ_\Gamma \gamma_A) \supseteq \gamma_A$, that is, $(A \circ_\Gamma \delta) \cap (\delta \circ_\Gamma A) \subseteq A$.

Let S be a Γ-AG-groupoid and let $A_I = \{A/A \in S\}$, where $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be any IFS of S, then $(A_I, \circ_\Gamma)$ satisfies (1), (2), (3) and (4).

An element a of a Γ-AG-groupoid S is called an intra-regular if there exist $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha.\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\gamma y$ and S is called an intra-regular if every element of S is an intra-regular.

Example 1. *Let* $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$. *The following multiplication table shows that* S *is an AG-groupoid and also an AG-band.*

.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	1	4	7	3	6	8	2	9	5
2	9	2	5	7	1	4	8	6	3
3	6	8	3	5	9	2	4	1	7
4	5	9	2	4	7	1	6	3	8
5	3	6	8	2	5	9	1	7	4
6	7	1	4	8	3	6	9	5	2
7	8	3	6	9	2	5	7	4	1
8	2	5	9	1	4	7	3	8	6
9	4	7	1	6	8	3	5	2	9

Clearly S is non-commutative and non-associative because $2.3 \neq 3.2$ and $(4.2).3 \neq 4.(2.3)$.

Let $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and define a mapping $S \times \Gamma \times S \to S$ by $a\alpha b = (ab)^2$ and $a\beta b = a^3b^2$ for all $a, b \in S$. Then it is easy to see that S is a Γ-AG-groupoid and also a Γ-AG-band. Note that S is non-commutative and non-associative because $9\alpha 1 \neq 1\alpha 9$ and $(6\alpha 7)\beta 8 \neq 6\alpha(7\beta 8)$.

3. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF AN INTRA-REGULAR Γ-AG**-GROUPOIDS IN TERMS OF INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY Γ-IDEALS

Example 2. *Let $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be an AG-groupoid with the following multiplication table.*

.	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	2	2	2
3	1	2	4	5	3
4	1	2	3	4	5
5	1	2	5	3	4

Let $\Gamma = \{\alpha\}$ and define a mapping $S \times \Gamma \times S \to S$ by $x\alpha y = xy$ for all $x, y \in S$, then clearly S is a Γ-AG-groupoid.

It is easy to see that S is an intra-regular. Define an IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of S as follows: $\mu_A(1) = 1$, $\mu_A(2) = \mu_A(3) = \mu_A(4) = \mu_A(5) = 0$, $\gamma_A(1) = 0.3$, $\gamma_A(2) = 0.4$ and $\gamma_A(3) = \gamma_A(4) = \gamma_A(5) = 0.2$, then clearly $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal and also an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-AG-subgroupoid of S.

It is easy to observe that in an intra-regular Γ-AG-groupoid S, the following holds

$$S = S\Gamma S. \tag{5}$$

For an IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an AG-groupoid S and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, the set
$A_\alpha = \{x \in S : \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha,\ \gamma_A(x) \leq \alpha\}$
is called an intuitionistic Γ-level cut of A.

Theorem 1. *For a Γ-AG-groupoid S, the following statements are true.*

(i) A_α is a Γ-right (left, two-sided) ideal of S if A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right (left) ideal of S.

(ii) A_α is a Γ-bi-(generalized bi-) ideal of S if A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-bi-(generalized bi-) ideal of S.

Proof. *(i)*: Let S be a Γ-AG-groupoid and let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right ideal of S. If $x, y \in S$ such that $x \in A_\alpha$, then $\mu_A(x) \geq \alpha$ and $\gamma_A(x) \leq \alpha$, therefore $\mu_A(x\beta y) \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha$ and $\gamma_A(x\beta y) \leq \gamma_A(x) \leq \alpha$, for all $\beta \in \Gamma$. Thus $x\beta y \in A_\alpha$, which shows that A_α is a Γ-right ideal of S. Let $y \in A_\alpha$, then $\mu_A(y) \geq \alpha$ and $\gamma_A(y) \leq \alpha$. If A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left ideal of S, then $\mu_A(x\beta y) \geq \mu_A(y) \geq \alpha$ and $\gamma_A(x\beta y) \leq \gamma_A(y) \leq \alpha$ implies that $x\beta y \in A_\alpha$,for all $\beta \in \Gamma$. Which shows that A_α is a Γ-left ideal of S.

(ii): Let S be a Γ-AG-groupoid and let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-bi-(generalized bi-) ideal of S. If x, y and $z \in S$ such that x and $z \in A_\alpha$, then $\mu_A(x) \geq \alpha$, $\gamma_A(x) \leq \alpha$, $\mu_A(z) \geq \alpha$ and $\gamma_A(z) \leq \alpha$. Therefore, for all $\beta, \delta \in \Gamma$, $\mu_A((x\beta y)\delta z) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(z) \geq \alpha$, and $\gamma_A((x\beta y)\delta z) \leq \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_A(z) \leq \alpha$ implies that $(x\beta y)\delta z \in A_\alpha$, which shows that A_α is a generalized Γ-bi-ideal of S. Now let $x, y \in A_\alpha$, then $\mu_A(x) \geq \alpha$, $\gamma_A(x) \leq \alpha$, $\mu_A(y) \geq \alpha$ and $\gamma_A(y) \leq \alpha$. Therefore for all

$\beta \in \Gamma$, $\mu_A(x\beta y) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y) \geq \alpha$ and $\gamma_A(x\beta y) \leq \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_A(y) \leq \alpha$, implies that $x\beta y \in A_\alpha$. Thus A_α is a Γ-bi-ideal of S. $\square$

Conversely, let us define an IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an AG-groupoid S in Example 2 as follows: $\mu_A(1) = 0.4$, $\mu_A(2) = 0.8$, $\mu_A(3) = \mu_A(4) = \mu_A(5) = 0$, $\gamma_A(1) = 0.4$, $\gamma_A(2) = 0.3$, $\gamma_A(3) = \gamma_A(4) = 0.9$ and $\gamma_A(5) = 1$. Let $\alpha = 0.4$, then it is easy to see that $A_\alpha = \{a, b\}$ and one can easily verify from Example 2 that $\{a, b\}$ is a right (left, bi-, generalized bi-) ideal of S but $\mu_A(2\alpha 1) \ngeq \mu_A(2)$ ($\mu_A(1\alpha 2) \ngeq \mu_A(2)$, $\mu_A((2\alpha 1)\alpha 2) \ngeq \mu_A(2)$) and $\gamma_A(2\alpha 1) \nleq \gamma_A(2)$ ($\gamma_A(1\alpha 2) \nleq \gamma_A(2)$, $\gamma_A((2\alpha 1)\alpha 2) \nleq \gamma_A(2)$) implies that A is not an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right (left, bi-, generalized bi-) ideal of S.

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be any two $IFSs$ of a Γ-AG-groupoid S, then the product $A \circ_\Gamma B$ is defined by,

$$(\mu_A \circ_\Gamma \mu_B)(a) = \begin{cases} \bigvee\limits_{a=b\beta c} \{\mu_A(b) \wedge \mu_B(c)\}, \text{ if } a = b\beta c \text{ for some } b,\ c \in S \text{ and } \beta \in \Gamma. \\ 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma \gamma_B)(a) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge\limits_{a=b\beta c} \{\gamma_A(b) \vee \gamma_B(c)\}, \text{ if } a = b\beta c \text{ for some } b,\ c \in S \text{ and } \beta \in \Gamma. \\ 1, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$A \subseteq B$ means that

$$\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x) \text{ and } \gamma_A(x) \geq \gamma_B(x) \text{ for all } x \text{ in } S.$$

By keeping the generalization, the proof of the following is same as in [7] and [5].

Lemma 1. *Let S be a Γ-AG-groupoid, then the following holds.*

(i) An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-AG-subgroupoid of S if and only if $\mu_A \circ_\Gamma \mu_A \subseteq \mu_A$ and $\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma \gamma_A \supseteq \gamma_A$.

(ii) An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left (right) ideal of S if and only if $S \circ_\Gamma \mu_A \subseteq \mu_A$ and $\Theta \circ_\Gamma \gamma_A \supseteq \gamma_A$ ($\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S \subseteq \mu_A$ and $\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma \Theta \supseteq \gamma_A$).

Theorem 2. *Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an intra-regular Γ-AG^{**}-groupoid S, then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-bi-ideal of S.

(ii) $(A \circ_\Gamma \delta) \circ_\Gamma A = A$ and $A \circ_\Gamma A = A$, where $\delta = (S_\delta, \Theta_\delta)$.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) : Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-bi-ideal of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid S. Let $a \in A$, then there exists x, $y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y$. Now let $\xi \in \Gamma$, then by using (3), (1), (5),

(4) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y = (a\alpha(x\beta a))\delta y = (y\alpha(x\beta a))\delta a = (y\alpha(x\beta((x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y)))\delta a \\
&= ((u\xi v)\alpha(x\beta((a\alpha(x\beta a))\delta y)))\delta a = ((((a\alpha(x\beta a))\delta y)\xi x)\alpha(v\delta u))\delta a \\
&= (((x\delta y)\xi(a\alpha(x\beta a)))\alpha(v\delta u))\delta a = ((a\xi((x\delta y)\alpha(x\beta a)))\alpha(v\delta u))\delta a \\
&= ((a\xi((x\delta x)\alpha(y\beta a)))\alpha(v\delta u))\delta a = (((v\delta u)\xi((x\delta x)\alpha(y\beta a)))\alpha a)\delta a \\
&= (((v\delta u)\xi((x\delta x)\alpha(y\beta((x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y))))\alpha a)\delta a \\
&= (((v\delta u)\xi((x\delta x)\alpha(y\beta((x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta(u\xi v)))))\alpha a)\delta a \\
&= (((v\delta u)\xi((x\delta x)\alpha(y\beta((v\alpha u)\delta((a\beta a)\xi x)))))\alpha a)\delta a \\
&= (((v\delta u)\xi((x\delta x)\alpha(y\beta((a\beta a)\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))))\alpha a)\delta a \\
&= (((v\delta u)\xi((x\delta x)\alpha((a\beta a)\beta(y\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))))\alpha a)\delta a \\
&= (((v\delta u)\xi((a\beta a)\alpha((x\delta x)\beta(y\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))))\alpha a)\delta a \\
&= (((a\beta a)\xi((v\delta u)\alpha((x\delta x)\beta(y\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))))\alpha a)\delta a \\
&= (((((x\delta x)\beta(y\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))\beta(v\delta u))\xi(a\alpha a))\alpha a)\delta a \\
&= ((a\xi((((x\delta x)\beta(y\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))\beta(v\delta u))\alpha a))\alpha a)\delta a = (p\alpha a)\delta a
\end{aligned}$$

where $p = a\xi((((x\delta x)\beta(y\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))\beta(v\delta u))\alpha a)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
((\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta) \circ_\Gamma \mu_A)(a) &= \bigvee_{a=(p\alpha a)\delta a} \{(\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta)(p\alpha a) \wedge \mu_A(a)\} \\
&\geq \bigvee_{p\alpha a=p\alpha a} \{\mu_A(p) \circ_\Gamma S_\delta(a)\} \wedge \mu_A(a) \\
&\geq \left\{\mu_A(a\xi(((x^2\beta(y\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))\beta(v\delta u))\alpha a)) \wedge S_\delta(a)\right\} \wedge \mu_A(a) \\
&\geq \mu_A(a) \wedge 1 \wedge \mu_A(a) = \mu_A(a)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
((\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma \Theta_\delta) \circ_\Gamma \gamma_A)(a) &= \bigwedge_{a=(p\alpha a)\delta a} \{(\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma \Theta_\delta)(p\alpha a) \vee \gamma_A(a)\} \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{p\alpha a=p\alpha a} \{\gamma_A(p) \circ_\Gamma \Theta_\delta(a)\} \vee \gamma_A(a) \\
&\leq \left\{\gamma_A(a\xi(((x^2\beta(y\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))\beta(v\delta u))a)) \vee \Theta_\delta(a)\right\} \vee \gamma_A(a) \\
&\leq \gamma_A(a) \vee 1 \vee \gamma_A(a) = \gamma_A(a).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta) \circ_\Gamma \mu_A \supseteq \mu_A$ and $(\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma \Theta_\delta) \circ_\Gamma \gamma_A \subseteq \gamma_A$, which implies that $(A \circ_\Gamma \delta) \circ_\Gamma A \supseteq A$. Now by using (4), (1) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y = (a\alpha(x\beta a))\delta y = (y\alpha(x\beta a))\delta a = (y\alpha(x\beta((x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y))\delta a \\
&= (y\alpha(x\beta((x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta(u\xi v))))\delta a = (y\alpha(x\beta((v\alpha u)\delta((a\beta a)\xi x))))\delta a \\
&= (y\alpha(x\beta((a\beta a)\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x))))\delta a = (y\alpha((a\beta a)\beta(x\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x))))\delta a \\
&= ((a\beta a)\alpha(y\beta(x\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x))))\delta a = (((x\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x))\beta y)\alpha(a\beta a))\delta a \\
&= (a\alpha(((x\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x))\beta y)\beta a))\delta a = (a\alpha p)\delta a
\end{aligned}$$

where $p = ((x\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x))\beta y)\beta a$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}((\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta) \circ_\Gamma \mu_A)(a) &= \bigvee_{a=(a\alpha p)\delta a} \{(\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta)(a\alpha p) \wedge \mu_A(a)\} \\ &= \bigvee_{a=(a\alpha p)\delta a} \left(\bigvee_{a\alpha p = a\alpha p} \mu_A(a) \wedge S_\delta(p) \right) \wedge \mu_A(a) \\ &= \bigvee_{a=(a\alpha p)\delta a} \{\mu_A(a) \wedge 1 \wedge \mu_A(a)\} = \bigvee_{a=(ap)a} \mu_A(a) \wedge \mu_A(a) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{a=(a\alpha p)\delta a} \mu_A(((a\alpha((x\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x))\beta y)\beta a))\delta a) = \mu_A(a).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta) \circ_\Gamma \mu_A \subseteq \mu_A$ and similarly we can show that $(\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma \Theta_\delta) \circ_\Gamma \gamma_A \supseteq \gamma_A$, which implies that $(A \circ_\Gamma \delta) \circ_\Gamma A \subseteq A$. Thus $(A \circ_\Gamma \delta) \circ_\Gamma A = A$. We have shown that $a = ((a\xi((((x\delta x)\beta(y\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))\beta(v\delta u))\alpha a))\alpha a)\delta a$. Let $a = p\delta a$ where $p = (a\xi((((x\delta x)\beta(y\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))\beta(v\delta u))\alpha a))\alpha a$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_A \circ_\Gamma \mu_A)(a) &= \bigvee_{a=p\delta a} \{\mu_A(a\xi((((x\delta x)\beta(y\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x)))\beta(v\delta u))\alpha a))\alpha a \wedge \mu_A(a)\} \\ &\geq \mu_A(a) \wedge \mu_A(a) \wedge \mu_A(a) = \mu_A(a).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mu_A \circ_\Gamma \mu_A \supseteq \mu_A$ and similarly we can show that $\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma \gamma_A \subseteq \gamma_A$. Now by using Lemma 1, we get $A \circ_\Gamma A = A$.

$(ii) \Longrightarrow (i)$: Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid S, then let $\beta, \delta \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_A((x\beta a)\delta y) &= ((\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta) \circ_\Gamma \mu_A)((x\beta a)\delta y) = \bigvee_{(x\beta a)\delta y=(x\beta a)\delta y} \{(\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta)(x\beta a) \wedge \mu_A(y)\} \\ &\geq \bigvee_{x\beta a = x\beta a} \{\mu_A(x) \wedge S_\delta(a)\} \wedge \mu_A(y) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge 1 \wedge \mu_A(y) = \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(z).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mu_A((x\beta a)\delta y) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(z)$ and similarly we can show that $\gamma_A((x\beta a)\delta y) \leq \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_A(z)$. Also by Lemma 1, A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-AG**-groupoid of S and therefore A is an intuitionistic Γ-fuzzy bi-ideal of S. $\square$

Theorem 3. *Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an intra-regular Γ-AG^{**}-groupoid S, then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-interior ideal of S.

(ii) $(\delta \circ_\Gamma A) \circ_\Gamma \delta = A$, where $\delta = (S_\delta, \Theta_\delta)$.

Proof. $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$: Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-interior ideal of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid . Let $a \in A$, then there exists x, $y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$, such that $a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y$. Now let $\xi \in \Gamma$, then by using (3), (5), (4) and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}a &= (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y = (a\beta(x\beta a))\delta y = ((u\xi v)\beta(x\beta a))\delta y \\ &= ((a\xi x)\beta(v\beta u))\delta y = (((v\beta u)\xi x)\beta a)\delta y.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}((S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A) \circ_\Gamma S_\delta)(a) &= \bigvee_{a=(((v\beta u)\xi x)\beta a)\delta y} \{(S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A)(((v\beta u)\xi x)\beta a) \wedge S_\delta(y)\} \\ &\geq \bigvee_{((v\beta u)\xi x)\beta a=((v\beta u)\xi x)\beta a} \{(S_\delta((v\beta u)\xi x) \wedge \mu_A(a)\} \wedge 1 \\ &\geq 1 \wedge \mu_A(a) \wedge 1 = \mu_A(a).\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $(S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A) \circ_\Gamma S_\delta \supseteq \mu_A$ and similarly we can show that $(\Theta_\delta \circ_\Gamma \gamma_A) \circ_\Gamma \Theta_\delta \subseteq \gamma_A$, therefore $(\delta \circ_\Gamma A) \circ_\Gamma \delta \supseteq A$. Now again

$$\begin{aligned}((S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A) \circ_\Gamma S_\delta)(a) &= \bigvee_{a=(x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y} \{(S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A)(x\alpha(a\beta a)) \wedge S_\delta(y)\} \\ &= \bigvee_{a=(x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y} \left(\bigvee_{x\alpha(a\beta a)=x\alpha(a\beta a)} S_\delta(x) \wedge \mu_A(a\beta a) \right) \wedge S_\delta(y) \\ &= \bigvee_{a=(x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y} \{1 \wedge \mu_A(a\beta a) \wedge 1\} = \bigvee_{a=(x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y} \mu_A(a\beta a) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{a=(x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y} \mu_A((x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y) = \mu_A(a).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A) \circ_\Gamma S_\delta \subseteq \mu_A$ and similarly we can show that $(\Theta_\delta \circ_\Gamma \gamma_A) \circ_\Gamma \Theta_\delta \supseteq \gamma_A$, therefore $(\delta \circ_\Gamma A) \circ_\Gamma \delta \subseteq A$. Hence it follows that $(\delta \circ_\Gamma A) \circ_\Gamma \delta = A$.

$(ii) \Longrightarrow (i)$: Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid S, and let $\beta, \delta \in \Gamma$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_A((x\beta a)\delta y) &= ((S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A) \circ_\Gamma S_\delta)((x\beta a)\delta y) = \bigvee_{(x\beta a)\delta y=(x\beta a)\delta y} \{(S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A)(x\beta a) \wedge S_\delta(y)\} \\ &\geq \bigvee_{x\beta a=x\beta a} \{(S_\delta(x) \circ_\Gamma \mu_A(a)\} \wedge S_\delta(y) \geq \mu_A(a).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that $\gamma_A((x\beta a)\delta y) \leq \gamma_A(a)$ and therefore $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-interior ideal of S. □

Lemma 2. *Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid, then $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left ideal of S if and only if $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right ideal of S.*

Proof. Let S be an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid and let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left ideal of S. Now for $a, b \in S$ there exists $x, y, x', y' \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y$ and $b = (x'b^2)y'$. Now let $\xi, \psi \in \Gamma$, then by using (1), (4), (5) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_A(a\xi b) &= \mu_A(((x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y)\xi b) = \mu_A((b\delta y)\xi(x\alpha(a\beta a))) = \mu_A(((a\beta a)\delta x)\xi(y\alpha b)) \\ &= \mu_A(((x\beta a)\delta a)\xi(y\alpha b)) = \mu_A(((x\beta a)\delta(u\psi v))\xi(y\alpha b)) \\ &= \mu_A(((v\beta u)\delta(a\psi x))\xi(y\alpha b)) = \mu_A((a\delta((v\beta u)\psi x))\xi(y\alpha b)) \\ &= \mu_A(((y\alpha b)\delta((v\beta u)\psi x))\xi a) \geq \mu_A(a).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can get $\gamma_A(a\xi b) \leq \gamma_A(a)$, which implies that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right ideal of S.

Conversely let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right ideal of S. Now by using (3) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(ab) &= \mu_A(a((x^{'}b^2)y^{'}) = \mu_A((x^{'}b^2)(ay^{'})) = \mu_A((y^{'}a)(b^2x^{'})) \\ &= \mu_A(b^2((y^{'}a)x)) \geq \mu_A(b). \end{aligned}$$

Also we can get $\gamma_A(ab) \leq \gamma_A(b)$, which implies that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left ideal of S. □

A Γ-AG-groupoid S is called a Γ-left (right) duo if every Γ-left (right) ideal of S is a Γ-two-sided ideal of S and is called a Γ-duo if it is both a Γ-left and a Γ-right duo.

A Γ-AG-groupoid S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left (right) duo if every intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left (right) ideal of S is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal of S and is called an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-duo if it is both an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left and an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right duo.

Corollary 1. *Every intra-regular Γ-AG^{**}-groupoid S is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-duo.*

Lemma 3. *In an intra-regular Γ-AG-groupoid S, $\delta \circ_\Gamma A = A$ and $A \circ_\Gamma \delta = A$ holds for an IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of S where $\delta = (S_\delta, \Theta_\delta)$.*

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an intra-regular Γ-AG-groupoid S and let $a \in S$, then there exist $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\gamma y$. Now by using (3) and (1), we have

$$a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y = (a\alpha(x\beta a))\delta y = (y\alpha(x\beta a))\delta a.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A)(a) &= \bigvee_{a=(y\alpha(x\beta a))\delta a} \{S_\delta(y\alpha(x\beta a)) \wedge \mu_A(a)\} = \bigvee_{a=(y\alpha(x\beta a))\delta a} \{1 \wedge \mu_A(a)\} \\ &= \bigvee_{a=(y\alpha(x\beta a))\delta a} \mu_A(a) = \mu_A(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that $\Theta_\delta \circ_\Gamma \gamma_A = \gamma_A$, which shows that $\delta \circ_\Gamma A = A$.

Now let $\xi \in \Gamma$, then by using (5), (4) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta(u\xi v) = (v\alpha u)\delta((a\beta a)\xi x) \\ &= (a\beta a)\delta((v\alpha u)\xi x) = (x\beta(v\alpha u))\delta(a\xi a) = a\delta((x\beta(v\alpha u))\xi a). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta)(a) &= \bigvee_{a=a\delta((x\beta(v\alpha u))\xi a)} \{\mu_A(a) \wedge S_\delta((x\beta(v\alpha u))\xi a)\} = \bigvee_{a=a\delta((x\beta(v\alpha u))\xi a)} \{\mu_A(a) \wedge 1\} \\ &= \bigvee_{a=a\delta((x\beta(v\alpha u))\xi a)} \mu_A(a) = \mu_A(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that $\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma \Theta_\delta = \gamma_A$ which shows that $A \circ_\Gamma \delta = A$. □

Corollary 2. *In an intra-regular Γ-AG-groupoid S, $\delta \circ_\Gamma A = A$ and $A \circ_\Gamma \delta = A$ holds for every intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left (right, two-sided) $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of S, where $\delta = (S_\delta, \Theta_\delta)$.*

Lemma 4. *In an intra-regular Γ-AG-groupoid S, $\delta \circ_\Gamma \delta = \delta$, where $\delta = (S_\delta, \Theta_\delta)$.*

Proof. Let S be an intra-regular Γ-AG-groupoid, then

$$(S_\delta \circ_\Gamma S_\delta)(a) = \bigvee_{a=(x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y} \{S_\delta(x\alpha(a\beta a)) \wedge S_\delta(y)\} = 1 = S_\delta(a)$$

and

$$(\Theta_\delta \circ_\Gamma \Theta_\delta)(a) = \bigwedge_{a=(x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y} \{\Theta_\delta(x\alpha(a\beta a)) \vee \Theta_\delta(y)\} = 0 = \Theta_\delta(a).$$

□

Theorem 4. *Let* $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ *be an* IFS *of an intra-regular* Γ-AG^{**}*-groupoid* S*, then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-quasi ideal of S.
(ii) $(A \circ_\Gamma \delta) \cap (\delta \circ_\Gamma A) = A$, where $\delta = (S_\delta, \Theta_\delta)$.

Proof. $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$ can be followed from Lemma 3 and $(ii) \Longrightarrow (i)$ is obvious. □

Theorem 5. *Let* $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ *an* IFS *of an intra-regular* Γ-AG^{**}*-groupoid* S*, then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal of S.
(ii) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-quasi ideal of S.

Proof. $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$ is an easy consequence of Corollary 2 and Theorem 4.

$(ii) \Longrightarrow (i)$: Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-quasi ideal of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid S and let $a \in S$, then there exist $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y$. Now let $\xi, \psi \in \Gamma$, then by using (3), (5) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y = (a\alpha(x\beta a))\delta(u\xi v) = (v\alpha u)\delta((x\beta a)\xi a) \\ &= (v\alpha u)\delta((x\beta a)\xi(m\psi n)) = (v\alpha u)\delta((n\beta m)\xi(a\psi x)) \\ &= (v\alpha u)\delta(a\xi((n\beta m)\psi x)) = a\delta((v\alpha u)\xi((n\beta m)\psi x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta)(a) &= \bigvee_{a=a\delta((v\alpha u)\xi((n\beta m)\psi x))} \{\mu_A(a) \wedge S_\delta((v\alpha u)\xi((n\beta m)\psi x))\} \\ &\geq \mu_A(a) \wedge 1 = \mu_A(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that $\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma \Theta_\delta \subseteq \gamma_A$ which implies that $A \circ_\Gamma \delta \supseteq A$. Now by using Lemmas 3, 4 and (2), we have

$$A \circ_\Gamma \delta = (\delta \circ_\Gamma A) \circ_\Gamma (\delta \circ_\Gamma \delta) = (\delta \circ_\Gamma \delta) \circ_\Gamma (A \circ_\Gamma \delta) = \delta \circ_\Gamma (A \circ_\Gamma \delta) \supseteq \delta \circ_\Gamma A.$$

This shows that $\delta \circ_\Gamma A \subseteq (A \circ_\Gamma \delta) \cap (\delta \circ_\Gamma A)$. As A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-quasi ideal of S, thus we get $\delta \circ_\Gamma A \subseteq A$. Now by using Lemma 1, A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left ideal of S and by Lemma 2, A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right ideal of S, that is, A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal of S. □

Theorem 6. *Let* $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ *be an* IFS *of an intra-regular* Γ-AG^{**}*-groupoid* S*, then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal of S.
(ii) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-interior ideal of S.

Proof. $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$ is obvious.

$(ii) \Longrightarrow (i)$: Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-interior ideal of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid S and let $a, b \in S$, then there exist $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y$. Now let $\xi \in \Gamma$, then by using (3), (1) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_A(a\xi b) &= \mu_A(((x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y)\xi b) = \mu_A(((a\alpha(x\beta a))\delta y)\xi b) = \mu_A((b\delta y)\xi(a\alpha(x\beta a)))\\ &= \mu_A(((x\beta a)\delta a)\xi(y\alpha b)) \geq \mu_A(a).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that $\gamma_A(a\xi b) \leq \gamma_A(a)$. Thus A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right ideal of S and by using Lemma 2, A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal of S. □

Theorem 7. *Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an intra-regular Γ-AG^{**}-groupoid S, then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-left ideal of S.
(ii) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right ideal of S.
(iii) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal of S.
(iv) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-bi-ideal of S.
(v) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-generalized bi-ideal of S.
(vi) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-interior ideal of S.
(vii) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-quasi ideal of S.
$(viii)$ $A \circ_\Gamma \delta = A$ and $\delta \circ_\Gamma A = A$.

Proof. $(i) \Longrightarrow (viii)$ can be followed from Corollary 2 and $(ix) \Longrightarrow (viii)$ is obvious.

$(vii) \Longrightarrow (vi)$: Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-quasi ideal of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid S. Now for $a \in S$ there exist $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a = (b\alpha(a\beta a))\delta c$. Now let $\xi, \psi \in \Gamma$, then by using (3), (4) and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(x\xi a)\psi y &= (x\xi((b\alpha(a\beta a))\delta c))\psi y = ((b\alpha(a\beta a))\xi(x\delta c))\psi y\\ &= ((c\alpha x)\xi((a\beta a)\delta b))\psi y = ((a\beta a)\xi((c\alpha x)\delta b))\psi y\\ &= (y\xi((c\alpha x)\delta b))\psi(a\beta a) = a\psi((y\xi((c\alpha x)\delta b))\beta a)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(x\xi a)\psi y &= (x\xi((b\alpha(a\beta a))\delta c))\psi y = ((b\alpha(a\beta a))\xi(x\delta c))\psi y\\ &= ((c\alpha x)\xi((a\beta a)\delta b))\psi y = ((a\beta a)\xi((c\alpha x)\delta b))\psi y\\ &= (y\xi((c\alpha x)\delta b))\psi(a\beta a) = (a\xi a)\psi(((c\alpha x)\delta b)\beta y)\\ &= ((((c\alpha x)\delta b)\beta y)\xi a)\psi a.\end{aligned}$$

Now by using Theorem 4, we have

$$\mu_A((x\xi a)\psi y) = ((\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta) \cap (S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A))((x\xi a)\psi y) = (\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta)((x\xi a)\psi y) \wedge (S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A)((x\xi a)\psi y).$$

Now

$$(\mu_A \circ_\Gamma S_\delta)((x\xi a)\psi y) = \bigvee_{a\psi((y\xi((c\alpha x)\delta b))\beta a)} \{\mu_A(a) \wedge S_\delta((y\xi((c\alpha x)\delta b))\beta a)\} \geq \mu_A(a)$$

and

$$(S_\delta \circ_\Gamma \mu_A)((x\xi a)\psi y) = \bigvee_{(xa)y=((((c\alpha x)\delta b)\beta y)\xi a)\psi a} \{S_\delta((((c\alpha x)\delta b)\beta y)\xi a) \wedge \mu_A(a)\} \geq \mu_A(a).$$

This implies that $\mu_A((x\xi a)\psi y) \geq \mu_A(a)$ and similarly we can show that $\gamma_A((x\xi a)\psi y) \leq \gamma_A(a)$. Thus A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-interior ideal of S.

$(vi) \Longrightarrow (v)$: Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-interior ideal of S, then by Theorem 6, A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal of S and it is easy to observe that A is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-generalized bi-ideal of S.

$(v) \Longrightarrow (iv)$: Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-generalized bi-ideal of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid S Let $a \in S$, then there exists $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y$. Now let $\xi, \psi, \eta \in \Gamma$, then by using (3), (5), (4) and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_A(a\xi b) &= \mu_A(((x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y)\xi b) = \mu_A(((a\alpha(x\beta a))\delta y)\xi b) \\
&= \mu_A((((s\psi t)\alpha(x\beta a))\delta y)\xi b) = \mu_A((((a\psi x)\alpha(t\beta s))\delta y)\xi b) = \mu_A(((t\alpha((a\psi x)\beta s))\delta y)\xi b) \\
&= \mu_A(((t\alpha((a\psi x)\beta s))\delta(u\eta v))\xi b) = \mu_A(((v\alpha u)\delta(((a\psi x)\beta s)\eta t))\xi b) \\
&= \mu_A(((v\alpha u)\delta((t\beta s)\eta(a\psi x)))\xi b) = \mu_A(((v\alpha u)\delta(a\eta((t\beta s)\psi x)))\xi b) \\
&= \mu_A((a\delta((v\alpha u)\eta((t\beta s)\psi x)))\xi b) \geq \mu_A(a) \wedge \mu_A(b).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that $\gamma_A(a\xi b) \leq \gamma_A(a) \vee \gamma_A(b)$ and therefore $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-bi-ideal of S.

$(iv) \Longrightarrow (iii)$: Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-bi-ideal of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid S. Let $a, t \in S$, then there exists $x, y, x^{'}, y^{'} \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y$ and $t = (x^{'}\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y^{'}$. Now let $\xi, \gamma \in \Gamma$, then by using (3), (1), (5) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_A(a\xi b) &= \mu_A(((x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y)\xi b) = \mu_A(((a\alpha(x\beta a))\delta y)\xi b) = \mu_A((b\delta y)\xi(a\alpha(x\beta a))) \\
&= \mu_A((b\delta y)\xi((s\gamma t)\alpha(x\beta a))) = \mu_A((b\delta y)\xi((a\gamma x)\alpha(t\beta s))) \\
&= \mu_A(((t\beta s)\delta(a\gamma x))\xi(y\alpha b)) = \mu_A((a\delta((t\beta s)\gamma x))\xi(y\alpha b)) \\
&= \mu_A(((y\alpha b)\delta((t\beta s)\gamma x))\xi a) = \mu_A(((y\alpha b)\delta((((x\alpha a^2)\delta y)\beta s)\gamma x))\xi a) \\
&= \mu_A(((y\alpha b)\delta((x\beta s)\gamma((x\alpha a^2)\delta y)))\xi a) = \mu_A(((y\alpha b)\delta((y\beta(x\alpha a^2))\gamma(s\delta x)))\xi a) \\
&= \mu_A(((y\alpha b)\delta((x\beta s)\gamma((x\alpha a^2)\delta y)))\xi a) = \mu_A(((yb)((xs)((x\alpha a^2)\delta(uv))))\xi a) \\
&= \mu_A(((yb)((xs)((vu)(a^2x))))\xi a) = \mu_A(((yb)((xs)(a^2((vu)x))))\xi a) \\
&= \mu_A(((yb)(a^2((xs)((vu)x))))\xi a) = \mu_A((a^2((yb)((xs)((vu)x))))\xi a) \\
&\geq \mu_A(a^2) \wedge \mu_A(a) \geq \mu_A(a) \wedge \mu_A(a) \wedge \mu_A(a) = \mu_A(a).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that $\gamma_A(a\xi b) \leq \gamma_A(a)$ and therefore $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-right ideal of S. Now by using Lemma 2, $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal of S.

$(iii) \Longrightarrow (ii)$ and $(ii) \Longrightarrow (i)$ are an easy consequences of Lemma 2. $\square$

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ are $IFSs$ of an AG-groupoid S. The symbols $A \cap B$ will means the following IFS of S

$(\mu_A \cap \mu_B)(x) = \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\} = \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x)$, for all x in S.
$(\gamma_A \cup \gamma_B)(x) = \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)\} = \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_B(x)$, for all x in S.

The symbols $A \cup B$ will means the following IFS of S

$(\mu_A \cup \mu_B)(x) = \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\} = \mu_A(x) \vee \mu_B(x)$, for all x in S.
$(\gamma_A \cap \gamma_B)(x) = \min\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)\} = \gamma_A(x) \wedge \gamma_B(x)$, for all x in S.

Lemma 5. *Let S be an intra-regular Γ-AG^{**}-groupoid and let $A=(\mu_A,\gamma_A)$ and $B=(\mu_B,\gamma_B)$ are any intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideals of S, then $A\circ_\Gamma B = A\cap B$.*

Proof. Assume that $A=(\mu_A,\gamma_A)$ and $B=(\mu_B,\gamma_B)$ are any intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideals of an intra-regular AG**-groupoid S, then by using Lemma 1, we have $\mu_A\circ_\Gamma\mu_B\subseteq\mu_A\cap\mu_B$ and $\gamma_A\circ_\Gamma\gamma_B\supseteq\gamma_A\cup\gamma_B$, which shows that $A\circ_\Gamma B\subseteq A\cap B$. Let $a\in S$, then there exists $x,y\in S$ and $\alpha,\beta,\delta\in\Gamma$ such that $a=(x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y$. Now let $\xi\in\Gamma$, then by using (3), (5) and (2), we have

$$a=(x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y=(a\alpha(x\beta a))\delta(u\xi v)=(a\alpha u)\delta((x\beta a)\xi v).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_A\circ_\Gamma\mu_B)(a) &= \bigvee_{a=(a\alpha u)\delta((x\beta a)\xi v)}\{\mu_A(a\alpha u)\wedge\mu_B((x\beta a)\xi v)\}\geq\mu_A(a\alpha u)\wedge\mu_B((x\alpha a)\xi v)\\ &\geq \mu_A(a)\wedge\mu_B(a)=(\mu_A\cap\mu_B)(a)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\gamma_A\circ_\Gamma\gamma_A)(a) &= \bigwedge_{a=(a\alpha u)\delta((x\beta a)\xi v)}\{\gamma_A(a\alpha u)\vee\gamma_A((x\beta a)\xi v)\}\leq\gamma_A(a\alpha u)\vee\gamma_A((x\alpha a)\xi v)\\ &\leq \gamma_A(a)\vee\gamma_A(a)=(\gamma_A\cup\gamma_A)(a).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get that $\mu_A\circ_\Gamma\mu_B\supseteq\mu_A\cap\mu_B$ and $\gamma_A\circ_\Gamma\gamma_B\subseteq\gamma_A\cup\gamma_B$, which give us $A\circ_\Gamma B\supseteq A\cap B$ and therefore $A\circ_\Gamma B=A\cap B$. □

The converse of Lemma 5 is not true in general which is discussed in the following. Let us consider an AG-groupoid $S=\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ in the following Cayley's table.

.	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	5	5	3	5
3	1	5	5	2	5
4	1	2	3	4	5
5	1	5	5	5	5

Let $\Gamma=\{1\}$ and define $S\times\Gamma\times S\to S$ by $x1y=xy$ for all $x,y\in S$, then S is a Γ-AG-groupoid.

Define an IFS $A=(\mu_A,\gamma_A)$ of an AG-groupoid S as follows: $\mu_A(1)=\mu_A(2)=\mu_A(3)=0.3$, $\mu_A(4)=0.1$, $\mu_A(5)=0.4$, $\gamma_A(1)=0.2$, $\gamma_A(2)=0.3$, $\gamma_A(3)=0.4$, $\gamma_A(4)=0.5$, $\gamma_A(5)=0.2$. Now again define an IFS $B=(\mu_B,\gamma_B)$ of an AG-groupoid S as follows: $\mu_B(1)=\mu_B(2)=\mu_B(3)=0.5$, $\mu_B(4)=0.4$, $\mu_B(5)=0.6$, $\gamma_B(1)=0.3$, $\gamma_B(2)=0.4$, $\gamma_B(3)=0.5$, $\gamma_B(4)=0.6$, $\gamma_B(5)=0.3$. Then it is easy to observe that $A=(\mu_A,\gamma_A)$ and $B=(\mu_B,\gamma_B)$ are an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideals of S such that $(\mu_A\circ_\Gamma\mu_B)(a)=\{0.1,\ 0.3,\ 0.4\}=(\mu_A\cap\mu_B)(a)$ for all $a\in S$ and similarly $(\gamma_A\circ_\Gamma\gamma_B)(a)=(\gamma_A\cap\gamma_B)$ for all $a\in S$, that is, $A\circ_\Gamma B=A\cap B$ but S is not an intra-regular because $3\in S$ is not an intra-regular.

An IFS $A=(\mu_A,\gamma_A)$ of an AG-groupoid is said to be idempotent if $\mu_A\circ_\Gamma\mu_A=\mu_A$ and $\gamma_A\circ_\Gamma\gamma_A=\gamma_A$, that is, $A\circ_\Gamma A=A$ or $A^2=A$.

Lemma 6. *Every intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal $A=(\mu_A,\gamma_A)$ of an intra-regular Γ-AG-groupoid S is Γ-idempotent.*

Proof. Let S be an intra-regular Γ-AG-groupoid and let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideal of S. Now for $a \in S$ there exists $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ such that $a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y$. Now let $\xi \in \Gamma$, then by using (3), (5) and (2), we have

$$a = (x\alpha(a\beta a))\delta y = (a\alpha(x\beta a))\delta(u\xi v) = (a\alpha u)\delta((x\beta a)\xi v).$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_A \circ_\Gamma \mu_A)(a) &= \bigvee_{a=(a\alpha u)\delta((x\beta a)\xi v)} \{\mu_A(a\alpha u) \wedge \mu_A((x\beta a)\xi v)\} \geq \mu_A(a\alpha u) \wedge \mu_A((x\beta a)\xi v) \\ &\geq \mu_A(a) \wedge \mu_A(a) = \mu_A(a).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mu_A \circ_\Gamma \mu_A \supseteq \mu_A$ and by using Lemma 1, $\mu_A \circ_\Gamma \mu_A \subseteq \mu_A$, therefore $\mu_A \circ_\Gamma \mu_A = \mu_A$. Similarly we can prove that $\gamma_A \circ_\Gamma \gamma_A = \gamma_A$, which implies that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is Γ-idempotent. □

Theorem 8. *The set of intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideals of an intra-regular Γ-AG^{**}-groupoid S forms a semilattice structure with identity δ, where $\delta = (S_\delta, \Theta_\delta)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{I}_{\mu\gamma}$ be the set of intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideals of an intra-regular Γ-AG**-groupoid S and let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$, $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ and $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C)$ are any intuitionistic fuzzy Γ-two-sided ideals of $\mathbb{I}_{\mu\gamma}$. Clearly $\mathbb{I}_{\mu\gamma}$ is closed and by Lemma 6, we have $A\Gamma A = A$. Now by using Lemma 5, we get $A \circ_\Gamma B = B \circ_\Gamma A$ and therefore, we have

$$(A\circ_\Gamma B)\circ_\Gamma C = (B\circ_\Gamma A)\circ_\Gamma C = (C\circ_\Gamma A)\circ_\Gamma B = (A\circ_\Gamma C)\circ_\Gamma B = (B\circ_\Gamma C)\circ_\Gamma A = A\circ_\Gamma(B\circ_\Gamma C).$$

It is easy to see from Corollary 2 that δ is an identity in $\mathbb{I}_{\mu\gamma}$. □

References

[1] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy *sets*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 20 (1986), 87 − 96..

[2] L. Dengfeng, C. Chunfian, New similarity measures of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and applications to pattern recognitions, Pattern Reconit Lett., 23 (2002), 221 − 225.

[3] P. Holgate, Groupoids satisfying a simple invertive law, The Math. Stud., (1992) 1 − 4 (61) : 101 − 106.

[4] M. A. Kazim and M. Naseeruddin, On almost semigroups, The Alig. Bull. Math., 2 (1972), 1−7.

[5] M. Khan M. Faisal Iqbal and M. N. A Khan, On Anti Fuzzy Ideals in Left Almost Semigroups, Journal of Mathematics Research, 2 (2010), 203 − 210.

[6] N. Kuroki, Fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli, 27 (1979), 17−21.

[7] J. N. Mordeson, D. S. Malik and N. Kuroki, *Fuzzy semigroups*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany (2003).

[8] Q. Mushtaq and S. M. Yusuf, On LA-semigroups, The Alig. Bull. Math., 8 (1978), 65 − 70.

[9] M. Naseeruddin, Some studies in almost semigroups and flocks, Ph.D., thesis. Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India, (1970).

[10] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 35 (1971), 512 − 517.

[11] M. K. Sen, On Γ-semigroups, Proceeding of International Symposium on Algebra and Its Applications, Decker Publication, New York, (1981), 301 − 308.

[12] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets. Inform. Control, 8 (1965), 338 − 353.